

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**Chelation Therapy for Kidney Transplant Recipients With Lead Exposure**

To the Editor:

We read with interest the article of Sotomayor et al.<sup>1</sup> According to the researchers, pretransplant plasma lead concentrations, which decrease after kidney transplantation, are associated with increased risk of late kidney allograft failure. The finding is useful and stimulating, as it carries the implication of potential chelation therapy for kidney transplant recipients with lead exposure. Nevertheless, there are several unappreciated issues associated with this observational study.

First, plasma specimens were used in the study for evaluation of body exposure to lead, cadmium, and arsenic. Plasma samples are suboptimal, and instead whole blood samples should be collected for analysis. A previous study<sup>2</sup> confirmed that lead level in whole blood is the ideal marker to distinguish between patients with different mean levels. Sommar et al<sup>2</sup> found that plasma lead performed well in those with high exposure, such as lead workers, but at low exposures plasma lead was inaccurate. Second, apart from lead, cadmium, and arsenic, the body burden of mercury should also be examined, as excess of mercury is also correlated with poorer kidney outcomes.<sup>3</sup> Third, it is suggested newer hydrophilic dithiol chelators—for example, meso-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) or 2,3-dimercapto-propanesulphonate (DMPS)—be considered for kidney transplant recipients with confirmed lead exposure.<sup>4</sup> In this regard, although a blood lead reference value of 10 µg/dL is commonly used in adults, no safe blood lead level has been recognized.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, the harmful effects of lead at any detectable level should not be ignored.

Ju-Shao Yen, MD and Tzung-Hai Yen, MD, PhD

## Article Information

**Authors' Affiliations:** Departments of Dermatology (J-SY) and Nephrology (T-HY), Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou, Taoyuan, Taiwan; and College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan (J-SY, T-HY).

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

**Peer Review:** Received August 19, 2022. Accepted August 29, 2022, after editorial review by an Associate Editor and a Deputy Editor.

**Publication Information:** © 2022 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. Published online October 24, 2022 with doi [10.1053/j.ajkd.2022.08.024](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2022.08.024)

## References

1. Sotomayor CG, Giubergia F, Groothof D, et al. Plasma lead concentration and risk of late kidney allograft failure: findings from the TransplantLines Biobank and Cohort Studies. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2022;80(1):87-97.e1. doi:[10.1053/j.ajkd.2021.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2021.10.009)
2. Sommar JN, Hedmer M, Lundh T, Nilsson L, Skerfving S, Bergdahl IA. Investigation of lead concentrations in whole blood, plasma and urine as biomarkers for biological monitoring of lead exposure. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. 2014;24(1):51-57. doi:[10.1038/jes.2013.4](https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2013.4)
3. Lin HH, Chou SA, Yang HY, et al. Association of blood lead and mercury with estimated GFR in herbalists after the ban of herbs containing aristolochic acids in Taiwan. *Occup Environ Med*. 2013;70(8):545-551. doi:[10.1136/oemed-2012-101066](https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2012-101066)
4. Aaseth J, Skaug MA, Cao Y, Andersen O. Chelation in metal intoxication—principles and paradigms. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2015;31:260-266. doi:[10.1016/j.jtemb.2014.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2014.10.001)
5. Shefa ST, Heroux P. Both physiology and epidemiology support zero tolerable blood lead levels. *Toxicol Lett*. 2017;280:232-237. doi:[10.1016/j.toxlet.2017.08.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2017.08.015)